Pre-asymptotic critical behavior and effective exponents in disordered metallic quantum ferromagnets

T.R. Kirkpatrick[1] and D. Belitz[2,3]
[1] *Institute for Physical Science and Technology, and Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA*
[3] *Materials Science Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA*
(Dated: July 29, 2014)

We determine the pre-asymptotic critical behavior at the quantum ferromagnetic transition in strongly disordered metals. We find that it is given by effective power laws, in contrast to the previously analyzed asymptotic critical behavior, which is valid only in an unobservably small region. The consequences for analyzing experiments are discussed, in particular ways to distinguish between critical behavior and Griffiths-phase effects.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Tg, 05.30.Rt, 75.40.Cx, 75.40.Gb

arXiv:1407.7068v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 25 Jul 2014

The ferromagnetic quantum phase transition in metals has received a lot of interest in recent years. In clean systems it is now well established that the transition is generically first order. This has been observed experimentally for ferromagnets as diverse as the d-electron metals MnSi and $ZrZn_2$ [1, 2], and various uranium-based compounds [3]. It is in sharp contrast to early theories that predicted a second-order transition with mean-field exponents for both clean and disordered quantum ferromagnets [4], but in excellent agreement with a theory that takes into account the coupling between the magnetization and soft or gapless fermionic excitations in metals [5, 6]. Disordered systems, which include almost all magnets where the quantum phase transition is triggered by chemical composition, are not as well understood. Experimentally, continuous or second-order transitions have been observed in a variety of systems, but attempts to determine exponents have yielded very different results for different systems, and even for different analyses of the same system [7–10]. Theoretically, the same framework that predicts a first-order transition in clean systems predicts a second-order one in the presence of quenched disorder [11–13]. Again, the coupling of gapless excitations (in this case, diffusive particle-hole excitations) leads to strong deviations from the prediction of Hertz theory [14]. The asymptotic critical behavior is given by non-mean-field power laws modified by multiplicative log-normal terms. Comparisons between this asymptotic critical behavior and experimental results are overall not satisfactory. Another important development has been the study of quantum Griffiths effects that are expected to be present in systems with quenched disorder [15, 16]. These are due to rare regions devoid of disorder, occur in a whole region in the phase diagram, and are characterized by non-universal power laws. The interplay between Griffiths-phase effects and critical phenomena is incompletely understood and makes the interpretation of experiments difficult, see the discussion at the end.

The quantum critical point is difficult to determine experimentally, and the distance from it can be hard to control. As a result, the experimental situation is much more difficult than in the case of classical phase transitions. For the latter, it is known that in almost all cases an observation of the asymptotic critical behavior requires measurements over two decades or more in a region within less (in some cases substantially less) than 1% from the critical point [17]. Such precision is currently not achievable for quantum phase transitions. Further, for classical transitions it is known that the asymptotic critical region is preceded by a pre-asymptotic region where observables obey apparent power-law behavior with effective exponents that can be very different from the asymptotic ones.

It is the purpose of this Letter to analyze the corresponding pre-asymptotic behavior for the quantum ferromagnetic transition in disordered metals. This is a necessary step towards a better understanding of experimental results on such systems. We find that for strongly disordered systems [14] the pre-asymptotic region (which we estimate to range from about 0.1% to 10% away from the critical point) is governed by effective power laws that can be represented by homogeneity laws for the magnetization m, the spin susceptibility χ, the specific-heat coefficient γ, and the electrical conductivity σ:

$$m(t,T,h) = b^{-2}\, f_m(t\, b^{d-2+\lambda}, T\, b^{2+\lambda}, h\, b^{d+\lambda}) \,, \tag{1a}$$
$$\chi(t,T,h) = b^{d-2+\lambda}\, f_\chi(t\, b^{d-2+\lambda}, T\, b^{2+\lambda}, h\, b^{d+\lambda}) \,, \tag{1b}$$
$$\gamma(t,T,h) = b^{\lambda}\, f_\gamma(t\, b^{d-2+\lambda}, T\, b^{d+\lambda}, h\, b^{d+\lambda}) \,, \tag{1c}$$
$$\sigma(t,T,\omega) = \text{const.} + b^{-(d-2)}\, f_\sigma(t\, b^{d-2+\lambda}, T\, b^{d+\lambda}, \omega\, b^{d+\lambda}) \,. \tag{1d}$$

Here the f are scaling functions, d is the dimensionality, t is the dimensionless distance from the quantum critical point, and T, h, and ω denote the temperature, magnetic field, and frequency, respectively. b is the arbitrary renormalization-group scale factor. λ is an effective exponent that depends on d. Strictly speaking, it varies with t and approaches zero for $t \to 0$; however, this happens

only for unobservably small values of t. For the t-range mentioned above, which is the relevant one for all existing experiments, our numerical results (see below) show

$$\lambda \approx 2/3 \ . \tag{2}$$

Note that the scale dimension of the temperature depends on the observable considered: As an argument of m or χ it is $2+\lambda$, but as an argument of γ or σ, $d+\lambda$. This is because of the presence of two time scales in the system; a critical one and and a renormalized diffusive one with dynamical exponents $z_c = d+\lambda$ and $z_d = 2+\lambda$, respectively. Determining which time scale controls a given observable requires detailed calculations [13]. Suitable choices of b yield the predicted power-law behavior of the observables in the pre-asymptotic region. For instance, in $d = 3$ we obtain $m(t,0,0) \propto |t|^{\beta}$, $m(0,0,h) \propto h^{1/\delta}$, and $m(0,T,h) = T^{\beta_T} f_m(0,1,h/T^{\beta_T\delta})$ with effective critical exponents

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= 2/(1+\lambda) \approx 6/5 \quad , \quad \beta_T = 2/(2+\lambda) \approx 3/4 \quad , \\ \delta &= (3+\lambda)/2 \approx 11/6 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3a}$$

Similarly, we have $\gamma(t,0,0) \propto |t|^{-\kappa}$ and $\chi(t,0,0) \propto |t|^{-\gamma}$, with effective exponents

$$\kappa = \lambda/(1+\lambda) \approx 2/5 \quad , \quad \gamma = 1 \ . \tag{3b}$$

The corresponding temperature scaling at criticality is $\gamma(0,T,0) \propto T^{-\kappa_T}$ and $\chi(0,T,0) \propto T^{-\gamma_T}$, with

$$\kappa_T = \lambda/(1+\lambda) \approx 2/11 \quad , \quad \gamma_T = (1+\lambda)/(2+\lambda) \approx 5/8 \ . \tag{3c}$$

Note that the theory predicts h/T scaling for γ, but not for m and χ. Also of interest is the electrical resistivity $\rho = 1/\sigma$. For the critical contribution $\delta\rho$ Eq. (1d) predicts $\delta\rho(t=0,T) \propto T^{s_T}$ with

$$s_T = 1/(3+\lambda) \approx 3/11 \ , \tag{3d}$$

and $\delta\rho$ obeys ω/T scaling.

To derive these results we go back to the exact theory for the quantum critical point in disordered metallic ferromagnets that was developed in Refs. [12, 13]. It takes the form of a coupled field theory for the order-parameter fluctuations and the diffusive fermionic excitations characteristic of disordered metals. The former are characterized by a two-point vertex u_2 which, in the bare theory, takes the usual Ornstein-Zernike form as a function of the wave number $\boldsymbol{k}$,

$$u_2(\boldsymbol{k}) = t_0 + a_2 \boldsymbol{k}^2 \ , \tag{4}$$

with t_0 the bare distance from the critical point and a_2 a coefficient. The inverse of u_2 gives the bare (i.e, Landau-Ginzburg) spin susceptibility $\chi(\boldsymbol{k}) = 1/u_2(\boldsymbol{k})$. The fermionic excitations are expressed in terms of a diffusive propagator $\mathcal{D}$. In the bare theory it has the form

$$\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega_n) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{k}^2 + GH\Omega_n} \ , \tag{5}$$

with Ω_n a bosonic Matsubara frequency. Here G is proportional to the disorder strength, H is proportional to the inverse of the bare specific-heat coefficient $\gamma = C/T$, and GH is the bare heat diffusion coefficient. A coupling between the diffusive electronic excitations and the order-parameter fluctuations mediated by a spin-triplet interaction constant K_t leads to the well-known dynamics of the order-parameter fluctuations described by the paramagnon propagator [4, 18]

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega_n) = \frac{1}{u_2(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{GK_t|\Omega_n|}{\boldsymbol{k}^2 + GH|\Omega_n|}} \ . \tag{6}$$

In Refs. [12, 13] the renormalizations of the above quantities have been studied in the vicinity of the quantum critical point where the susceptibility and the specific-heat coefficient diverge. An important effect that arises at one-loop order is the appearance of a term $\propto |\boldsymbol{k}|^{d-2}$ in u_2. This term was missing in Hertz's tree-level analysis [4]. If it is included, one finds a Gaussian fixed point that leads to a standard power-law critical behavior with non-mean-field exponents [11]. This fixed point is marginally unstable due to the existence of various marginal operators. It was shown in Refs. [12, 13] that the effects of the latter can be determined exactly, and the critical behavior can thus be determined exactly in all dimensions $d > 2$. It can be described by coupled integral equations for the spin diffusion coefficient $D_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega_n)$ and the thermal diffusion coefficient $D(\Omega_n)$. $D_{\rm s}$ is proportional to the dressed order-parameter vertex $u_2(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega_n)$, which acquires a frequency dependence under renormalization. D is proportional to the dressed coupling constant $H(\Omega_n)$; G does not acquire any renormalizations that lead to singular behavior at the critical point. The integral equations take the form [13]

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega) &= D_{\rm s}^0 + \frac{iG}{2V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{p}^2 - i\omega/D(\omega)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{k})^2 - i(\omega+\Omega)/D(\omega+\Omega)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7a}$$

$$\frac{1}{D(\Omega)} = \frac{1}{D^0} + \frac{3G}{8V} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_0^\Omega d\omega \, \frac{1}{-i\omega + \boldsymbol{p}^2 D_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{p},\omega)} \ , \tag{7b}$$

with $D_{\rm s}^0$ and D^0 the bare spin and heat diffusion coefficients. The solution of these equations gives the leading behavior of the spin susceptibility $\chi(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega) \propto 1/D_{\rm s}(\boldsymbol{k},\Omega)$ and the specific-heat coefficient $\gamma = 1/D(\Omega=0)$ in the vicinity of the critical point where $D_{\rm s}(0,0) = D(0) = 0$. They hold in the disordered phase; the behavior of the magnetization can be inferred from scaling considerations [12, 13]. The temperature dependence of γ and χ is the same as the frequency dependence on scaling grounds.

The Eqs. (7) have an interesting history. They were first derived in the context of the metal-insulator transition in disordered interacting electron systems [19, 20].

They were solved by various methods, including a numerical solution and a renormalization-group solution. The solutions showed that they describe a phase transition at a critical value G_c of G where the spin dynamics freeze, but the nature of the frozen phase was unclear. Only later was it realized that they describe the quantum ferromagnetic transition [11]. This relation was fully explored in Refs. [12, 13], which used the asymptotic solution available from the earlier work. At criticality, the solution can be expressed in terms of a function

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[c(d)x]^n}{n!}\, e^{(n^2-n)\ln(2/d)/2} \ , \qquad (8a)$$

where $c(d)$ is a dimensionality-dependent constant that has a not been determined analytically. The leading behavior of g for large arguments is log-normal,

$$g(x \to \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\, e^{(\ln x)^2/2\ln(d/2)} \ . \qquad (8b)$$

g determines the asymptotic critical behavior of various observables, which can be cast in the form of homogeneity laws. For the specific-heat coefficient this takes the form

$$\gamma(t,T) = g(\ln b)\, f_\gamma(t\, b^{d-2} g(\ln b), T\, b^d\, g(\ln b)) \ , \qquad (9)$$

with f_γ a scaling function. Equation (9) implies in particular that at $T = 0$, upon approaching criticality, γ diverges faster than any power, but slower than exponentially: $\gamma(t \to 0) \propto g(\ln(1/t))$. Other observables obey corresponding homogeneity laws, and their asymptotic critical behavior is given by power laws multiplied by the log-normal function represented by Eq. (8b).

The analysis of Ref. [13], while asymptotically exact, is not very useful for analyzing experiments. The reason is that the asymptotic region is much smaller than what is realistically accessible in experiments. Indeed, Eq. (8b) is valid only for $\ln x \gg 1$, and the asymptotic critical region is therefore exponentially small. To determine the experimentally relevant behavior we resort to a numerical solution of the integral equations. We use a soft momentum cutoff and dimensionless units as in Ref. [19]. Figure 1 shows the normalized heat diffusion coefficient $D(\Omega = 0)/D^0$ vs $t = (G - G_c)/G_c$ for $d = 3$. The inset shows that the behavior is very well described by an effective power law $D(\Omega = 0) \propto t^{-\kappa}$ with $\kappa = 0.4$. The only input are the two Fermi-liquid parameters F_0^a and F_1^s, which determine D_s^0. For Fig. (1) we have chosen $F_0^a = -0.9$ and $F_1^s = 0$ as in Ref. [19]; this reproduces the results of that paper [21]. The important new point in the present context is that this effective power law implies, via Eq. (9), that $g(\ln b) \approx b^\lambda$ with $\lambda = \kappa/(1-\kappa)$ in a range of b values. This turns Eq. (9) into Eq. (1c), and results in many other power laws. As a check of the universality of our scaling assumption, namely, that $g(\ln b)$ in the pre-asymptotic region effectively turns into b^λ in



FIG. 1: (Color online) Solution of Eqs. (7). Main frame: $D(\Omega = 0)/D^0$ as function of t. Inset: log-log plot of the same data. The straight line corresponds to a slope $\kappa = 0.4$.



FIG. 2: (Color online) $D(\Omega)$ (blue dots) and $D_s(0,\Omega)$ (green diamonds) at criticality. Ω, D, and D_s are measured in atomic units as in Ref. [19]. Inset: log-log plot of the same data; the straight lines correspond to $\kappa_T = 0.181$ and $\gamma_T = 0.625$.

all expressions it enters, we have calculated $D_s(0,\Omega)$ and $D(\Omega)$ at criticality from the integral equations. Figure 2 shows that the numerical solution is in excellent agreement with the effective exponents from Eq. (3c) over two decades of the frequency or temperature. This is a nontrivial check of the effective scaling assumption, as two dynamical effective exponents (κ_T and λ_T) are correctly predicted from the numerical determination of one static one (κ). As a consistency check we have also calculated $g(x)$ as defined in Eq. (8a) numerically in the x-region that corresponds to the t-region over which the effective exponent κ is found. A necessary consistency requirement is that $g(x) \approx \exp(\lambda x)$ in the appropriate region for some value of $c(d)$. We have found that this is indeed the case for $c(3) \approx 1.4$.

These results demonstrate that the observable ferromagnetic quantum critical behavior if given by the homogeneity laws expressed in Eqs. (1). The exponent λ is strictly speaking not universal, i.e., it depends on t, but for t between about 10^{-3} and 10^{-1} it is given, in $d = 3$,

by Eq. (2) with an accuracy as demonstrated by the log-log plots in Figs. 1 and 2. Asymptotically close to the transition the behavior crosses over to the non-power-law asymptotic solutions discussed in Ref. [13], but this happens only unobservably close to the critical point.

We stress that this critical behavior is expected to be present *in addition* to any Griffiths-phase effects, and that the two will be independent. This is because the fixed point found in Ref. [12], which governs both the asymptotic critical behavior and the pre-asymptotic one discussed above, satisfies the Harris criterion [22, 23]. Griffiths effects therefore cannot modify the critical behavior; this is a case of coexisting critical behavior and quantum Griffiths singularities, as discussed in Refs. [24, 25]. We note that the critical behavior manifests itself on either side of the transition, whereas Griffiths phase effects are present only in the disordered phase.

We close with remarks concerning the experimental relevance of our results. Bauer et al. [7] found a ferromagnetic quantum critical point in $URu_{2-x}Re_xSi_2$ at $x \approx 0.3$. Scaling plots of the magnetization in an external field yielded exponents (in our notation) $\delta = 1.56$, $\beta_T = 0.9$, and $\gamma_T = \beta_T\,(\delta - 1) = 0.5$ [26]. Comparing with Eqs. (3) we see that the theoretical pre-asymptotic exponents are within 20% of these values. However, the temperature dependence of the resistivity in the vicinity of the critical concentration was found to be roughly linear, and thus much weaker than the prediction of Eq. (3d). A later analysis [8] put the critical concentration at $x \approx 0.15$ and found continuously varying exponents in the range $0.6 \geq x \geq 0.2$, with $\delta \to 1$, $\gamma_T \to 0$, and $\beta_T \approx 0.8$ roughly constant. These data were taken in what the authors interpreted as the ordered phase, so Griffiths-phase effects, which might explain the continuously varying exponents, should not be present. If the data represent critical phenomena, then continuously varying exponents are hard to understand, and an exponent $\gamma_T = 0$, which must signify an order-parameter susceptibility that diverges only logarithmically, would be very unusual.

Another relevant material is $Ni_{1-x}V_x$. Ref. [9] found a critical point at $x_c \approx 0.11$ with $\gamma_T = 0.37 \pm 0.07$, $\beta_T \approx 0.5$ and $\delta = 1.8 \pm 0.2$. The value of δ agrees very well with Eqs. (3), the agreement for γ_T and β_T is less satisfactory. These data were reinterpreted in Ref. [10] in terms of a Griffiths phase for $x > x_c$. The interpretation of the susceptibility required adding a Curie component that was attributed to the presence of frozen spin clusters. Our results predict that near the critical concentration a third component should be present, which is proportional to $T^{-(1+\lambda)/(2+\lambda)} \approx T^{-5/8}$, or $h^{-(1+\lambda)/(3+\lambda)} \approx h^{-5/11}$. This should be present in both the ordered and the disordered phase, and the T or h window where it is valid will depend on the distance from the critical point.

Finally, a value of $\delta \approx 1.5$ has been reported for the transition observed in $Sr_{1-x}A_xRuO_3$, where the dopant A can be Ca, $La_{0.5}Na_{0.5}$, or La [27].

In summary, our results imply that a complete understanding of the ferromagnetic quantum phase transition in disordered systems requires, (1) a careful analysis on both sides of the transition, (2) taking into account the pre-asymptotic critical behavior, and (3) measurements of the magnetization, which is the only observable for which critical behavior can be reliably separated from Griffiths-phase effects.

This work was supported by the NSF under grant Nos. DMR-1401410 and DMR-1401449. Part of this work was performed at the Aspen Center for Physics and supported by the NSF under Grant No. PHYS-1066293.

[1] C. Pfleiderer, G. J. McMullan, S. R. Julian, and G. G. Lonzarich, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 8330 (1997).
[2] M. Uhlarz, C. Pfleiderer, and S. M. Hayden, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 256404 (2004).
[3] D. Aoki, F. Hardy, A. Miyake, V. Taufour, T. D. Matsuda, and J. Flouquet, Comptes Rendus Physique **12**, 573 (2011).
[4] J. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1165 (1976).
[5] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4707 (1999), for reviews, see Refs. [28, 29].
[6] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 134451 (2012).
[7] E. D. Bauer, V. S. Zapf, P.-C. Ho, N. P. Butch, E. J. Freeman, C. Sirvent, and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 046401 (2005).
[8] N. P. Butch and M. B. Maple, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 076404 (2009).
[9] S. Ubaid-Kassis and A. Schroeder, Physica B **403**, 1325 (2008).
[10] S. Ubaid-Kassis, T. Vojta, and A. Schroeder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 066402 (2010).
[11] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 14364 (1996).
[12] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, M. T. Mercaldo, and S. Sessions, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174427 (2001).
[13] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, M. T. Mercaldo, and S. Sessions, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174428 (2001).
[14] We consider only strongly disordered systems (i.e., residual resistivities of at least about 100 $\mu\Omega$cm), where the diffusive excitations dominate the physics. In weakly disordered system a continuous transition where the observable critical behavior is Hertz-like is a possibility; a candidate is Ni_xPd_{1-x} [30]. See Ref. [31] for a discussion of disorder scales.
[15] A. J. Millis, D. K. Morr, and J. Schmalian, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 174433 (2002).
[16] T. Vojta, J. Low Temp. Phys. **161**, 299 (2010).
[17] J. M. H. Levelt Sengers and J. V. Sengers, in *Perspectives in Statistical Physics*, edited by H. J. Raveché (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981), p. 239.
[18] S. Doniach and S. Engelsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 750 (1966).
[19] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 955 (1991).
[20] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 3187 (1992).

[21] Instead of changing G at fixed F_0^a one can trigger the transition by changing F_0^a at fixed G, or any combination of the two. G_c depends on F_0^a and on the momentum cutoff, but the effective exponent κ does not discernibly depend on these choices. More generally, one needs to start with bare values sufficiently close to the transition for the renormalization procedure to converge to the critical fixed point. Once this is the case, the asymptotic results are independent of the initial conditions, and the pre-asymptotic ones depend only weakly on them.
[22] A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C **7**, 1671 (1974).
[23] J. T. Chayes, L. Chayes, D. S. Fisher, and T. Spencer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2999 (1986).
[24] T. Vojta and J. A. Hoyos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 075702 (2014).
[25] T. Vojta, J. Igo, and J. A. Hoyos, arXiv:1405.4337.
[26] Note that Widom's scaling law, $\gamma = \beta(\delta - 1)$, still holds if β and γ are replaced by β_T and γ_T as defined in the context of Eqs. (3).
[27] Y. Itoh, T. Mizoguchi, and K. Yoshimura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **77**, 123702 (2008).
[28] D. Belitz, T. R. Kirkpatrick, and T. Vojta, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 579 (2005).
[29] H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1015 (2007).
[30] M. Nicklas, M. Brando, G. Knebel, F. Mayr, W. Trinkl, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4268 (1999).
[31] Y. Sang, D. Belitz, and T. R. Kirkpatrick, arXiv:1406.5745.